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Working Party 5D (WP 5D) has so far identified at WP 5D meeting #32, in its review of the **Proponent China submission in Document** [5D/1268](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-WP5D-C-1268/en) no submission deficiencies and technology issues which impact the submission and the ability of WP 5D to have the submission move forward in the IMT-2020 process.

Observations on the submitted self-evaluation

The criteria for the self-evaluation is found in the template for the document IMT-2020/YYY in Section 4.3 “Self-Evaluation”. See Document [5D/1110](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-WP5D-C-1110/en) Chapter 5, Attachment 5.9, Chairman’s Report of WP 5D Meeting # 31, October 2018.

A summary of key findings is provided in Attachment 1.

Conclusion:

***4.3) Self-evaluation:*** *The entity that proposes a candidate RIT or SRIT to the ITU-R (the proponent) shall include with it either an self-evaluation or an evaluation submitted by another entity and endorsed by the proponent, and based on the compliance templates in § 5.2.4. (Report ITU-R M.2411 section 5.1 § 2).*

Self-evaluation supplied X Yes  No

Comments (specify)

**This submission from Proponent China is determined to have satisfactorily fulfilled Section 4.3 for the self-evaluation.**

Evaluation type **X** provided by proponent

Comments (specify the entity) Proponent China

SWG Evaluation requests that this compete document be included in the relevant IMT-2020/YYY document for the **Proponent China** Submission.

Attachment 1

Summary of discussions by SWG Evaluation for IMT-2020 submission   
in Document 5D/1268 (Proponent China)

− Clarification about “technically identical” by China

*“It is noted that the development of the candidate radio interface technology is technically identical to 5G NR and NB-IOT submitted from 3GPP. The self‑evaluation results are identical to Chinese companies’ contributions to 3GPP on NR and NB-IoT technologies.” From Doc. 5D/1268.*

− The views from this SWG

• Regarding the Document 5D/1268 from China, China indicated to the 32nd meeting of WP 5D that for the purposes of evaluation, this candidate technology submission is technically the same as the candidate technology submission provided in Document 5D/1217 and NB-IoT part in Document 5D/1216.

• WP 5D has concluded that because NR part in Documents 5D/1268 and 5D/1217 are technically identical submissions a single evaluation is applicable for both technologies.

• WP 5D has concluded that because NB-IoT[[1]](#footnote-1) part of Document 5D/1268 and NB-IoT feature in Document 5D/1216 are technically identical, a single evaluation on NB-IoT is applicable for both technologies.

− Any other technical issue? – Clarification about the release used for NB-IoT (Release 15 or not) – answer is yes, it is Release 15.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. NB-IoT is included in E-UTRA/LTE component RIT of Document 5D/1216 to fulfil the requirement of connection density in Urban Macro-mMTC test environment. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)